CS 535 | Homer | Fall 2010

due: 11/23/2010

Homework 5

Discussed with Mike Breslav and Dan Schatzberg

1. Page 136, #6.11.

Prove: co-NP = NP <-> some NP-complete set (X) has its complement (XBar) in NP.

(->): co-NP = NP -> some NP-complete set (X) has its complement (XBar) in NP.

- Since co-NP = NP, the complements of all languages in NP are also in NP.
- Since X is NP-complete, it is in NP.
- Thus, since the complements of all languages in NP are also in NP and since X is in NP, the complement of X, XBar, is also in NP.

(<-): XBar in NP -> co-NP = NP.

- A co-NP-complete problem is the complement of an NP-complete problem (Page 136, Homework 6.12 part 1). Thus, since X is NP-complete, its complement, XBar, is co-NP-complete.
- Since XBar is co-NP-complete, all languages in co-NP can be reduced to XBar.
- Since XBar is in NP and all co-NP languages can be reduced to it, all co-NP languages are in NP.
- Since all co-NP languages are in NP, co-NP is a subset of NP.

Since XBar is in NP, its complement XBarBar is in co-NP by definition of complements. The complement of the complement of X (XBarBar) = X, thus X is in co-NP.

- Since X is NP-complete, all NP languages can be reduced to it.
- Since X is in co-NP and all NP languages can be reduced to X, all NP languages are in co-NP.
- Since all NP languages are in co-NP, NP is a subset of co-NP.
- Since, co-NP is a subset of NP and NP is a subset of co-NP, co-NP = NP
- 2. Page 136, #6.12, part 2.

A set A in co-NP is \leq_m^p -complete for co-NP if for all L in co-NP, L \leq_m^p A.

Show the problem (X) of determining whether a formula (F) of propositional logic is a tautology is \leq_m^p -complete for co-NP.

 A co-NP-complete problem is the complement of an NP-complete problem (Page 136, Homework 6.12 part 1).

Homework 5

Rick Skowyra CS535

Problem 2

Claim: TAUTOLOGY = $\{x \mid x \text{ is a formula of propositional logic which is valid for all assignments}\}$ is co-NP-Complete.

Proof: To show that TAUTOLOGY is co-NP-Complete, we must show that $TAUTOLOGY \in$ co-NP and $\forall A \in$ co-NP, $A \leq_M^P TAUTOLOGY$. To show $TAUTOLOGY \in$ co-NP, we can construct a polynomial time verifier of the 'reject' case. Define a TM M which, given a Boolean formula and an assignment of its variables, decides if the assignment does not satisfy the formula. Let M be an Turing machine with a read-only input tape and a work tape. Construct M as follows:

- 1. Copy the Boolean formula on to the work tape. This takes linear time.
- 2. Replace each variable with its value. This takes linear time.

- 3. Recursively replace every pair-wise operation with its value (i.e. $(0 \land 1)$ is replaced with 0). Consider that the first replacement phase reduces the size of the formula from |x| to $\log(|x|)$ in O(|x|) steps. Each replacement phase continues to halve the size of the formula to be evaluated in the next phase. This step therefore takes polynomial time.
- 4. If the final replacement phase yields a 0, halt and reject.
- 5. If the final replacement phase yields a 1, halt and accept.

Clearly, $M \in DTIME(n^k)$. Next, we must show that $\forall A \in \text{co-NP}$, $A \leq_M^P TAUTOLOGY$. To do so we can show $\overline{SAT} \leq_M^P TAUTOLOGY$. Given a NTM N which decides TAUTOLOGY in polynomial time, construct a NTM M which decides \overline{SAT} in polynomial time as follows:

- 1. On input x, create $x' = \neg x$. This take linear time.
- 2. Run N(x'). This takes polynomial time.
- 3. If N accepts, halt and accept.
- 4. Otherwise halt and reject.

M clearly runs in polynomial time. Since \overline{SAT} is co-NP-Complete, $TAUTOLOGY \in \text{co-NP}$, and $\overline{SAT} \leq_M^P TAUTOLOGY$, TAUTOLOGY is co-NP-Complete.

Problem 3

Claim: CLIQUE \leq_M^P VERTEX COVER

Proof: Given a NTM N which decides VERTEX COVER in polynomial time, we can construct a NTM M which decides CLIQUE in polynomial time. Define M as follows:

- 1. Ensure that the input is of the form G=(V,E) and an integer k.
- 2. Create $G^c = (V, E^c)$. (E^c is the set of all edges not present in E). This takes polynomial time. (TIME(n^2) if using an adjacency matrix.)
- 3. Run $N(G^c, |V| k)$. This takes polynomial time.
- 4. If N accepts, halt and accept.
- 5. Otherwise halt and reject.

Clearly, M halts in polynomial time. The above reduction relies on the fact that in the complement to a graph, an independent set (the remaining vertices after baving found the vertex cover) becomes a clique, and vice versa. So a vertex cover of size |V| - k in the complement to a graph indicates a clique of size k in the original graph.

Problem 4

Define L(N) to be the set of all input strings x that are accepted with probability at least one half by a probabilistic polynomial-time NTM N.

- 1. $L(N) \in PSPACE$. To show this, consider the binary tree of computational paths formed by N. For a path p in N(x)'s computational tree, $Pr[p] = \frac{1}{2^t}$, where t is the number of nondeterministic choices made in the path. In order to determine the total probability of acceptance for an input word x, the sum over all accepting paths for x must be computed. We can do this in polynomial space with the following algorithm:
 - (a) On input x, deterministically perform a depth-first search over N's computational tree. You should also mention
 - (b) For each path p explored, compute Pr[p].

 - (c) If that path accepts, record Pr[p].

 (d) Otherwise, discard the sum and clear all state related to that path.

 that the space to stere the probability is in PSPACE.
 - (e) Explore the next path, re-using the tape cells that were used for the previous path.
 - (f) If at any point the total probability of accepting paths exceeds $\frac{1}{2}$, halt and accept.
 - (g) If all paths have been explored and the total probability of accepting paths does not exceed $\frac{1}{2}$, halt and reject.

The above algorithm uses a polynomial amount of space. Consider that a N must halt in $|x|^k$ steps for some fixed k. This puts a polynomial bound on the computational path length of N. Since a TM cannot use more than one tape cell per time step, each path must use only a polynomial amount of space. Since we re-use the tape cells for each path, the number of paths that must be explored is independent of the amount of space used. Therefore $L(N) \in PSPACE$.

2. If $Pr(x) = 0 \ \forall x \notin L(N), \ L(N) \in NP$. This follows directly. Since Pr(x) = 0 $\forall x \notin L(N)$, N will always halt in a non-accepting state if $x \notin L(n)$. Furthermore, if $x \in L(n)$, there always exists at least one accepting path since $Pr(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore $N\in NTIME(n^k)$, N has at least one accepting path if $x\in L(N)$, and N has no accepting path if $x \notin L(N)$. Therefore $L(N) \in NP$.

Problem 5

- 1. $A \leq_T^P B$ and $B \in P$ implies $A \in P$. Recall that if $A \leq_T^P B$, there exists a polynomial time bounded oracle TM O s.t. $x \in A \leftrightarrow O(x, B)$ accepts. Then, we can construct a polynomial time TM M to decide A:
 - (a) On input x, run O(x).



- (b) If O accepts, halt and accept.
- (c) Otherwise halt and reject.

By definition, $O \in P$, so it runs in at most $TIME(|x|^k)$. Each time O queries the oracle B, it writes an input word y on the oracle tape. Since $TIME(n^k) \subseteq SPACE(n^k)$, $|y| \le |x|^k$ for some fixed k. We know that $B \in P$, so on input y, it runs in $TIME(|y|^l)$. Since $|y| \le |x|^k$, $|y|^l \le |x|^{kl}$, which is still polynomial. Finally, recall that O may query B $|x|^k$ times, since $O \in P$ and an oracle query takes one time step. Therefore the total running time of M is $TIME(|x|^k * |x|^{kl}) = TIME(x^{k+kl})$, so $A \in P$.

 $\overline{A} \leq_T^P A$. This can be easily shown via construction of polynomial time OTM O:

- (a) Write x on to the oracle tape. This takes |x| steps.
- (b) Query the oracle. This takes one step.
- (c) If the oracle accepts, halt and reject. This takes one step.
- (d) If the oracle rejects, halt and accept. This takes one step.

The total running time of O is TIME(O(n)), so $O \in P$.

Problem 6

The following function is one-way: it is polynomial-time computable, but cannot be inverted in polynomial time. Define $f: 0^* \to \{0,1\}^*$ s.t. on unary input x, f(x) is its binary representation. The following algorithm computes f(x) given x, and runs in polynomial time on an offline Turing machine:

- 1. If there is a B on the input tape, halt and reject.
- 2. Read one character of the input word.
- 3. Write a 1 on the work tape.
- 4. Read the next character.
- 5. If it is B, halt and accept.

Α.

- 7. If a 0 is read:
 - (a) Write a 1 and start scanning right.
 - (b) Until a B is encountered, write a 0 in each cell.
- 8. If a 0 is not read:

- (a) Write a 1 to the first tape cell.
- (b) Scan right, writing a 0 in each tape cell until a B is encountered.
- (c) Replace B with 0.

9. Go to step 4

As an upper bound on the time complexity of the above algorithm, note that reading one character of the input causes at most 2*y+1 operations on the work tape, where y is the size of the string currently on the work tape. Recall that the length of a binary number is logarithmically smaller than its equivalent in unary. Therefore above algorithm runs in $TIME(O(2*log(n)^2))$. Clearly, $f \in PF$. Trying to invert the function, however, causes an exponential increase in the space needed to represent the output (by definition of a unary number). Since it requires one time step to write to a tape cell, an exponential amount of time relative to the input is required. Therefore $f^{-1} \notin PF$.

Problem 7

Let L be a PSPACE-Complete language. Claim: If $L \in NP$, then NP = PSPACE.

Proof:

This proof follows naturally from the definition of completeness. Recall that if L is PSPACE-Complete, then $\forall A \in PSPACE$, $A \leq_M^P L$. Note that if $A \leq_M^P L$ and $L \in NP$, $A \in NP$. We know that $NP \subseteq PSPACE$. If $L \in NP$, then all elements of PSPACE are also in NP, and $PSPACE \subseteq NP$. By the definition of set equality, NP = PSPACE.